

A Quadratic Curvature Lagrangian of Pawłowski and Rączka: A Finger Exercise with MathTensor*

Efstathios Tsantilas, Roland A. Puntigam, and Friedrich W. Hehl

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, Germany

e-mail: Hehl@ThP.Uni-Koeln.De

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Recently Pawłowski and Rączka (P&R) proposed a unified model for the fundamental interactions which does not contain a physical Higgs field. The *gravitational* field equation of their model is rederived under heavy use of the computer algebra system Mathematica and its package MathTensor.

1 Introduction

The computer algebra system Mathematica, together with its package MathTensor, is very useful for executing differential geometric calculations on a four-dimensional Riemannian spacetime, as was shown by Soleng [1], for example. Here we want to demonstrate the effectiveness of these tools by picking an example from the current literature which is of some fundamental importance.

The gravitational sector of a unified model for the fundamental interactions, proposed by P&R [2], is determined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}} = \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{6} (1 + \beta) R \Phi^\dagger \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 - \rho C_{ijkl} C^{ijkl} \right), \quad (1)$$

with Φ as Higgs field and R (curvature scalar) and C_{ijkl} (conformal Weyl curvature tensor) as gravitational fields depending on the metric tensor g_{ij} of the Riemannian spacetime, $g := \det(g_{ij})$. The coupling constants β , λ , and ρ are dimensionless because of the conformal invariance of the model.

The total Lagrangian density of P&R,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}, \quad (2)$$

*To appear in Hehl, F.W., Puntigam, R.A., Ruder, H. (eds.): *Relativity and Scientific Computing – Computer Algebra, Numerics, Visualization. Lectures given at the 152th WE-Heraeus Seminar, 18–22 Sept 1995, Bad Honnef (Germany)*. Springer, Berlin, 1996

depends additionally on the field part, which subsumes the contributions from all nongeometrical pieces. The explicit form of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}$ is of no relevance to us¹ since it is absorbed in the definition of the ‘material’ energy–momentum tensor

$$T^{ij} := \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}}{\delta g_{ij}}. \quad (3)$$

Hamilton’s principle yields the gravitational field equation

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}}}{\delta g_{ij}} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}}{\delta g_{ij}} = 0, \quad (4)$$

or

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}}}{\delta g_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2} T^{ij}. \quad (5)$$

The total Lagrangian (2) is conformally invariant. Therefore it is possible, within the P&R model, to fix a conformal gauge for the scalar Higgs-type field Φ according to

$$\Phi^\dagger \Phi = \frac{v^2}{2} = \text{constant}. \quad (6)$$

Our goal will be the computation of the left-hand side of (5) by means of the computer algebra tools mentioned above. Thereby we want to check the corresponding results of P&R [2].

2 Riemann Tensor and its Irreducible Pieces

Before we can commence with our calculations, we have to get hold of the definition of the Weyl curvature tensor C_{ijkl} . It is known that the curvature tensor of a four-dimensional Riemannian space has three irreducible pieces: the Weyl tensor C_{ijkl} , the tracefree Ricci tensor $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$, and the curvature scalar R .

We take the conventions of Misner et al. [3] and define the curvature tensor (Γ^i_{jk} = Christoffel symbols)

$$R^i_{jkl} := \partial_k \Gamma^i_{jl} - \partial_l \Gamma^i_{jk} + \Gamma^i_{mk} \Gamma^m_{jl} - \Gamma^i_{ml} \Gamma^m_{jk}, \quad (7)$$

the Ricci tensor and the curvature scalar

$$R_{ij} := R^k_{ikj} \quad \text{and} \quad R := g^{ij} R_{ij}, \quad (8)$$

respectively, and, eventually, the tracefree Ricci tensor

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} := R_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ij} R. \quad (9)$$

The metric has signature $(-+++)$.

The Riemann tensor (20 independent components) decomposes into three irreducible pieces, $20 = 10 \oplus 9 \oplus 1$, or

$$R_{ijkl} = {}^{(1)}R_{ijkl} + {}^{(2)}R_{ijkl} + {}^{(3)}R_{ijkl}, \quad (10)$$

¹From the Higgs-type Lagrangian, two pieces feature in the geometrical Lagrangian, namely the first two terms in (1), whereas the rest of it is attributed here to the field Lagrangian in (2).

with the definitions

$${}^{(2)}R_{ij}{}^{kl} := 2\mathcal{R}_{[i}{}^{[k} \delta_{j]}^l \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(3)}R_{ij}{}^{kl} := \frac{1}{6} R \delta_i^{[k} \delta_j^{l]}. \quad (11)$$

The first irreducible piece is traceless and has to be identified with the Weyl piece: $C_{ijkl} := {}^{(1)}R_{ijkl}$. If resolved with respect to C_{ijkl} , Eq. (10) can be read as the defining equation for the Weyl tensor.

Let us use MathTensor in order to perform the irreducible decomposition defined in (10) and (11). MathTensor recognizes TraceFreeRicciR. Therefore the pieces ${}^{(a)}R_{ijkl}$, denoted by R1, R2, and R3, can be computed by an almost verbatim translation of (10) and (11) into MathTensor:

```
Dimension=4; Rcsign=1; (*Default*)
DefUnique[TraceFreeRicciR[la_,lb_],0,PairQ[la,lb]]
R2[li_,lj_,lk_,ll_]=2 Antisymmetrize[Antisymmetrize[
  TraceFreeRicciR[lk,li] Metricg[lj,ll],{li,lj}],{lk,ll}]
R3[li_,lj_,lk_,ll_]=Expand[Antisymmetrize[
  1/6 ScalarR Metricg[lk,li] Metricg[lj,ll],{li,lj}]]
R1[li_,lj_,lk_,ll_]=RiemannR[li,lj,lk,ll]
  - R2[li,lj,lk,ll] - R3[li,lj,lk,ll]
```

We shall verify this decomposition with MathTensor. We first use the predefined tensor WeylC in order to check if the definitions are correct.

Furthermore we want to make sure that our decomposition is really irreducible. For this purpose we compute the traces of ${}^{(a)}R_{ijkl}$, and find – as well as the usual symmetries of the curvature tensor – that C_{ijkl} is traceless, the Ricci tensor of ${}^{(2)}R_{ijkl}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$, and ${}^{(3)}R_{ijkl}$ has neither a traceless piece nor a traceless Ricci piece, rather only the curvature scalar with the correct factor 1. The input reads:

```
TraceFreeRicciR[li,lj]/.TraceFreeRicciToRicciRule

diff1=CanAll[(WeylC[li,lj,lk,ll]-R1[li,lj,lk,ll])
  /.WeylToRiemannRule]
diff2=CanAll[Tsimplify[CanAll[diff1
  /.TraceFreeRicciToRicciRule]]]

TraceR2=Expand[Metricg[ua,ub] R2[la,li,lb,lj]]
TraceR3=Expand[R3[la,li,lb,lj] Metricg[ua,ub]]
TraceR1=Tsimplify[Expand[Metricg[ua,ub] R1[la,li,lb,lj]
  /.TraceFreeRicciToRicciRule]]
```

3 The Topological Euler Density

Let us come back to (1). Its last term is proportional to

$$\mathcal{C}^2 := \sqrt{-g} C_{ijkl} C^{ijkl}. \quad (12)$$

Densities will be denoted by script letters. The computation of the Bach tensor [4]

$$B^{ij} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}^2}{\delta g_{ij}} \quad (13)$$

(see also [5]) can be simplified, if one splits off a divergence term from (12). Such a term is the topological Euler density [6]

$$\mathcal{E} := -\frac{1}{128\pi^2} \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon^{abcd} R^{ij}{}_{ab} R^{kl}{}_{cd} \varepsilon_{ijkl}. \quad (14)$$

We can show that (14) represents a divergence:

$$\mathcal{E} = \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{128\pi^2} \mathcal{D}^i \right) \quad (15)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}^i := \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon^{ijkl} \varepsilon_{ab}{}^{cd} \Gamma^a{}_{cj} \left(\frac{1}{2} R^b{}_{dkl} + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma^b{}_{mk} \Gamma^m{}_{dl} \right). \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, also by means of MathTensor, the explicit form of (14) turns out to be

$$\mathcal{E} := \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{32\pi^2} (R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl} - 4 R_{ij} R^{ij} + R^2). \quad (17)$$

The corresponding input reads:

```
Eulerd1=
-1/(128 Pi^2) Epsilon[ua,ub,uc,ud] Epsilon[li,lj,lk,ll]
RiemannR[ui,uj,la,lb] RiemannR[uk,ul,lc,ld]
Eulerd2=CanAll[Expand[Eulerd1/.EpsilonProductTensorRule]]
```

We substitute into (12) the definition of the Weyl tensor and eliminate the emerging curvature square piece by means of (17). Then we find the simplified formula

$$\mathcal{C}^2 = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 + \partial_i \mathcal{D}^i, \quad (18)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 := 2 (R^{ij} R_{ij} - \frac{R^2}{3}) = 2 (\mathcal{R}^{ij} \mathcal{R}_{ij} - \frac{R^2}{12}), \quad (19)$$

which has also been cross-checked by means of MathTensor:

```

WeylSquare1=WeylC[li,lj,lk,ll] WeylC[ui,uj,uk,ul]
WeylSquare2=CanAll[Dum[WeylSquare1/.WeylToRiemannRule]]
checkCsquare=Expand[WeylSquare2 - 32 Pi^2 Eulerd2]
checkCsquare2=Expand[checkCsquare
                      /.RicciToTraceFreeRicciRule]

```

4 Bach Tensor

In order to compute the variation of the remaining piece $\tilde{C}^2$ of the Lagrangian, we follow closely the scheme that was demonstrated in the lecture by Soleng [1]. After initialization, we use **Variation** in order to compute $\delta \tilde{C}^2$, followed by a series of partial integrations, rules, and simplifications. The last step actually computes the variational derivative:

```

varC1=Variation[Sqrt[-Detg]*checkCsquare,Metricg]
varC2=PIntegrate[varC1,Metricg]
varC3=PIntegrate[varC2,Metricg]
varC4=Canonicalize[Absorbg[ApplyRules[varC3,RiemannRules]]]
Bach1[ui_,uj_]=Tsimpilify[VariationalDerivative[Expand[
                        varC4/Sqrt[-Detg]],Metricg,li,lj]]
MetricgFlag=True

```

The outcome of this variation reads (a semicolon denotes the covariant derivative)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Bach1} \cong B_{ij} = & \frac{2}{3} R_{;i;j} - 2 R_{ij;k}{}^{;k} + \frac{1}{3} g_{ij} R_{;k}{}^{;k} \\
& - \frac{1}{3} R^2 g_{ij} + \frac{4}{3} R R_{ij} + g_{ij} R_{kl} R^{kl} - 4 R^{kl} R_{ikjl} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$B_{ij} = B_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad g^{ij} B_{ij} = B^k{}_k = 0 . \tag{21}$$

The result (20) differs slightly from that of P&R.²

In order to compute the Bach tensor (20), we could have used (12) rather than (19). In this case (20) would pick up two additional terms that compensate each other, as is explicitly shown in [8]. We feel, however, that the present detour, via $\tilde{C}^2$, pays off in conceptual and computational simplicity.

²Their result reads, see [7, Eq. (7.2)] and [2, Eq. (5.2)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2}{3} R_{;i;j} + 2 R_{ij;k}{}^{;k} - \frac{2}{3} g_{ij} R_{;k}{}^{;k} \\
& - \frac{1}{3} R^2 g_{ij} + \frac{4}{3} R R_{ij} + g_{ij} R_{kl} R^{kl} - 4 R^{kl} R_{ikjl} .
\end{aligned}$$

The third term of the first line carries an incorrect factor two. Therefore their Bach tensor is no longer traceless, as is required by conformal invariance. Up to a (conventional?) sign, their first line is identical to that of (20), whereas the second lines coincide.

5 The Bach Tensor Streamlined

The Bach tensor takes on a more transparent form if we express the curvature pieces in (20) exclusively in terms of the irreducible pieces.

By default, MathTensor does not recognize that the trace of C_{ijkl} vanishes over arbitrary indices. Therefore we explicitly define rules to take care of this fact. After this preparatory step, we can directly reformulate the Bach tensor. Thus we put in:

```

DefUnique[WeylC[la_,lb_,lc_,ld_],0,PairQ[la,lb] ||
  PairQ[la,lc] || PairQ[la,ld] || PairQ[lb,lc] ||
  PairQ[lb,ld] || PairQ[lc,ld]]

Bach2[li_,lj_]=Tsimplify[CanAll[Expand[Bach1[li,lj]/.
  RiemannToWeylRule/.RicciToTraceFreeRicciRule]]]

```

This computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Bach2} \cong B_{ij} &= \frac{2}{3} R_{;i;j} - \frac{1}{6} R_{;k}{}^{;k} g_{ij} - 2 \mathcal{R}_{ij;k}{}^{;k} \\
 &+ \frac{2}{3} R \mathcal{R}_{ij} + 4 \mathcal{R}_{ki} \mathcal{R}_j{}^k - g_{ij} \mathcal{R}_{kl} \mathcal{R}^{kl} - 4 \mathcal{R}^{kl} C_{ikjl} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

If we introduce

$$\gamma_{ij}{}^{kl} := \delta_i^{(k} \delta_j^{l)} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ij} g^{kl} , \tag{23}$$

where

$$\gamma_{[ij]}{}^{kl} = \gamma_{ij}{}^{[kl]} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g^{ij} \gamma_{ij}{}^{kl} \equiv 0 , \tag{24}$$

then the tracelessness $B^k{}_k = 0$ and the symmetry $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$ of the Bach tensor become manifest:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{ij} &= \frac{2}{3} \gamma_{ij}{}^{kl} R_{;k;l} - 2 \mathcal{R}_{ij;k}{}^{;k} \\
 &+ \frac{2}{3} R \mathcal{R}_{ij} + 4 \gamma_{ij}{}^{kl} \mathcal{R}_{mk} \mathcal{R}^m{}_l - 4 \mathcal{R}^{kl} C_{ikjl} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The trick for the corresponding computation is again the use of a series of rules that expresses the Bach tensor in terms of $\gamma_{ij}{}^{kl}$ (denoted by **Gam**):

```

DefineTensor[Gam,{2,1,3,4},1,{1,2,4,3},1]
DefUnique[Gam[li_,lj_,lk_,ll_],0,PairQ[li,lj]]

RuleUnique[GamRule1,Metricg[li_,lj_]
  TraceFreeRicciR[lk_,ll_] TraceFreeRicciR[lm_,ln_] ,
  (- 4 Gam[li,lj,um,uo]
  + 4 Symmetrize[Metricg[li,um] Metricg[lj,uo],{um,uo}])

```

```

TraceFreeRicciR[lm,ln] TraceFreeRicciR[lo,un],
PairQ[lm,lk]&&PairQ[ll,ln]]
RuleUnique[GamRule2, Metricg[li_,lj_] CD[ScalarR,lk_,ll_],
(-4 Gam[li,lj,ul,uf]+4 Symmetrize[Metricg[li,ul]
Metricg[lj,uf],{ul,uf}]) CD[ScalarR,ll,lf],PairQ[lk,ll]]
Bach3[li_,lj_]=Tsimplify[Dum[Expand[Bach2[li,lj]
/.GamRule1/.GamRule2]]]
TraceBach3= Expand[Metricg[ui,uj] Bach3[li,lj]]

```

6 Gravitational Field Equation of the P&R Model

The gravitational field equation (5) can now be made explicit by substituting (1), (6), and (13) into it:

$$\frac{(1+\beta)v^2}{12} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}R)}{\delta g_{ij}} + \frac{\lambda v^4}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\sqrt{-g}}{\delta g_{ij}} + \rho B^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} T^{ij}. \quad (26)$$

We compute (by MathTensor) the variations ($G^{ij} = \text{Einstein tensor}$)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}R)}{\delta g_{ij}} = -G^{ij} := -R^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\sqrt{-g}}{\delta g_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij}. \quad (28)$$

By inserting these relations into (26), we find the (corrected) P&R field equation (see [7, (Eq. 7.2)] and [2, (Eq. 5.2)])

$$-\frac{(1+\beta)v^2}{12} G^{ij} + \frac{\lambda v^4}{8} g^{ij} + \rho B^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} T^{ij}. \quad (29)$$

Provided $\beta \neq -1$ and $v^2 \neq 0$, we can put (29) in a more conventional form,

$$G_{ij} - \frac{3\lambda v^2}{2(1+\beta)} g_{ij} - \frac{12\rho}{(1+\beta)v^2} B_{ij} = -\frac{6}{(1+\beta)v^2} T_{ij}, \quad (30)$$

or, after some (computer) algebra ($T := T^k_k$, $\mathcal{T}_{ij} := T_{ij} - T g_{ij}/4$):

$$R_{ij} + \frac{3\lambda v^2}{2(1+\beta)} g_{ij} - \frac{12\rho}{(1+\beta)v^2} B_{ij} = -\frac{6}{(1+\beta)v^2} \left(T_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ij} \right). \quad (31)$$

We can decompose this equation into its two irreducible pieces, the tracefree and the trace piece:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_{ij} - \frac{12\rho}{(1+\beta)v^2} B_{ij} &= -\frac{6}{(1+\beta)v^2} \mathcal{T}_{ij}, \\ R + \frac{6\lambda v^2}{(1+\beta)} &= \frac{6}{(1+\beta)v^2} T. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

These two pieces (32) of the (corrected) gravitational field equation (29) or (31) of P&R, together with the explicit form of the Bach tensor (25), represent the *general result* of our considerations. Note that in the trace piece of (32) there occur only second derivatives of the metric, in contrast to the fourth order derivatives featuring in the Bach tensor of the tracefree piece. Incidentally, models leading to somewhat similar gravitational field equations have been discussed since the early 1920s by many people (see [9, 5] and [10], and the literature cited therein).

In vacuo we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_{ij} &= \frac{12\rho}{(1+\beta)v^2} B_{ij}, \\ R &= -\frac{6\lambda v^2}{1+\beta} =: 4\Lambda_{\text{cosm}}. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

A glance at (25) shows that we can find a *special* solution [2] of the *vacuum* equation (33) by using the Einstein vacuum equation with a suitable cosmological constant as an ansatz:

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda_{\text{cosm}} g_{ij} \quad (34)$$

or, alternatively,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_{ij} &= 0, \\ R &= 4\Lambda_{\text{cosm}}. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Then the Bach tensor (25) vanishes, $B_{ij} = 0$, and (33) is fulfilled.

We have collected the MathTensor code that verifies the results of this section:

```

DefineTensor[B,{{1,2},1}]
DefineTensor[T,{{1,2},1}]
DefineTensor[TrFrT,{{1,2},1}]
DefUnique[B[li_,lj_],0,PairQ[li,lj]]
DefUnique[T[li_,lj_],T,PairQ[li,lj]]
lagHE=Sqrt[-Detg] ScalarR
varHE1=Variation[lagHE,Metricg]
varHE2=PIntegrate[varHE1,Metricg]
HE[ui_,uj_]=Expand[1/Sqrt[-Detg] VariationalDerivative[
    varHE2,Metricg,li,lj]]
lagconst=Sqrt[-Detg]
varconst1=Variation[lagconst,Metricg]
const[ui_,uj_]=Expand[1/Sqrt[-Detg] VariationalDerivative[
    varconst1,Metricg,li,lj]]
MetricgFlag=True

FieldEq1[li_,lj_]=(1/12 (1+beta) v^2 HE[li,lj]) +
    (1/4 lambda v^4 const[li,lj]) +
    (rho B[li,lj]) - (1/2 T[li,lj])
FieldEq2[li_,lj_]=Simplify[Collect[Expand[-12/((1+beta) v^2)
    *FieldEq1[li,lj]],{RicciR[li,lj],ScalarR}]]

```



```

TraceFieldEq2=Simplify[Expand[Metricg[ui,uj]
                               FieldEq2[li,lj]]]
RuleUnique[TRule,T[li_,lj_],
            TrFrT[li,lj]+1/4 T Metricg[li,lj]]
FieldEq3[li_,lj_]=Tsimplify[Expand[FieldEq2[li,lj]-
                                   1/2 TraceFieldEq2 Metricg[li,lj]]]
TraceFieldEq3=Expand[Metricg[ui,uj] FieldEq3[li,lj]]
TraceFreeFE[li_,lj_]=Expand[FieldEq3[li,lj] -
                             1/4 Metricg[li,lj] TraceFieldEq3
                             /.RicciToTraceFreeRicciRule/.TRule]

```

7 Discussion

Models very similar in their gravitational sectors to that by P&R have been developed, amongst others, by Gregorash & Papini [11, 12] and in [13, 14], the latter one, though, in a metric-affine spacetime with additional conformal invariance [14, Sect. 6]. Since conformal invariance is accommodated much more naturally in a spacetime with a Weyl piece, we believe that these post-Riemannian model should be reconsidered in the light of the more recent developments.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to R. Rączka and M. Pawłowski for interesting discussions about their model. Furthermore we thank R. Schimming for hints to the literature and F. Gronwald, E.W. Mielke, and Yu. Obukhov for useful remarks. One of us (RAP) is supported by the Graduiertenkolleg *Scientific Computing*, Köln–St.Augustin.

References

- [1] Soleng, H. (1996): The *Mathematica* packages CARTAN and *MathTensor* for tensor analysis. In this book, pp. 210–230. Springer, Berlin
- [2] Pawłowski, M., Rączka, R. (1995): A Higgs-free model for fundamental interactions. Part I: Formulation of the model. In Bertrand, J. et al. (eds.): *Modern Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, pp. 221–232. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht. (Also available from electronic archive Los Alamos, hep-ph/9503269)
- [3] Misner, C.W., Thorne, K.S., Wheeler, J.A. (1973): *Gravitation*. Freeman, San Francisco
- [4] Bach, R. (1921): Zur Weylschen Relativitätstheorie und der Weylschen Erweiterung des Krümmungstensorbegriffs. *Math. Zeitschr.* **9**, 110–135

- [5] Schimming, R., Schmidt, H.-J. (1990): On the history of fourth order metric theories of gravitation. *NTM-Schriftenr. Gesch. Naturw., Techn., Med. (Leipzig)* **27**, 41–48
- [6] Mielke, E.W. (1987): *Geometrodynamics of gauge fields – On the geometry of Yang–Mills and gravitational gauge theories*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin
- [7] Pawłowski, M., Rączka, R. (1994): A unified conformal model for fundamental interactions without dynamical Higgs field. *Found. Phys.* **24**, 1305–1327
- [8] Parker, L., Christensen, S.M. (1994): *MathTensor: A system for doing tensor analysis by computer*. Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA
- [9] Fiedler, B., Schimming, R. (1983): Singularity-free static centrally symmetric solutions of some fourth order gravitational field equations. *Astron. Nachr.* **304**, 221–229
- [10] Perlick, V., Xu, C. (1995): Matching exterior to interior solutions in Weyl gravity: Comment on ‘Exact vacuum solution to conformal Weyl gravity and galactic rotation curves’. *Astrophys. J.* **449**, 47–51
- [11] Gregorash, D., Papini, D. (1980): Weyl–Dirac theory with torsion. *Nuovo Cimento* **B55**, 37–51
- [12] Gregorash, D., Papini, D. (1980): Weyl–Dirac theory with torsion. II. Foundations and conservation equations. *Nuovo Cimento* **B56**, 21–38
- [13] Hehl, F.W., McCrea, J.D., Mielke, E.W (1985): Weyl spacetimes, the dilation current and creation of gravitating mass by symmetry breaking. In Deppert, W., Hübner, K., Oberschelp, A., Weidemann, V. (eds.): *Exact Scieces and their Philosophical Foundations – Vorträge des Internationalen Hermann–Weyl–Kongresses, Kiel 1985*, pp. 241–310. P. Lang Verlag, Frankfurt a. M.
- [14] Hehl, F.W., McCrea, J.D., Mielke, E.W., Ne’eman, Y. (1995): Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: Field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance. *Phys. Rep.* **258**, 1–171